**Saved in Childbearing, if…**

*“I Will Therefore That Men…”*

*“In Like Manner also, That Women…”*

**By Arlen L. Chitwood of** [**Lamp Broadcast**](http://lampbroadcast.org/)

*“I will therefore that men pray everywhere, lifting up holy hands, without wrath and doubting.*

*In like manner also, that women adorn themselves in modest apparel, with shamefacedness and sobriety; not with broided hair, or gold, or pearls, or costly array;*

*But (which becometh women professing godliness) with good works.*

*Let the women learn in silence with all subjection.*

*But I suffer not a woman to teach, nor to usurp authority over the man, but to be in silence.*

*For Adam was first formed, then Eve.*

*And Adam was not deceived, but the woman being deceived was in the transgression.*

*Notwithstanding she shall be saved in childbearing, if they continue in faith and charity and holiness with sobriety”* ([I Timothy 2:8-15](https://www.blueletterbible.org/search/preSearch.cfm?Criteria=I+Timothy+2.8-15&t=NKJV)).

[I Timothy 2:15](https://www.blueletterbible.org/search/preSearch.cfm?Criteria=I+Timothy+2.15&t=NKJV) — “Saved in childbearing” — holds the dubious distinction of having about as many different interpretations as the number of expositors attempting to relate what they might think is meant by the verse. But one thing most attempting to explain this verse seem to have in common is *the little attention given to that which has been previously stated, leading into the thought of salvation connected with childbearing.*

And, if attention is given to the context, the whole matter stated in verse fifteen really becomes self-explanatory, with the opposite of that being equally true as well. Apart from the context, one can only wander about in a sea of misinterpretation.

But, something else may emanate from understanding verse fifteen in the light of the context as well. A person may very well run across some things that he might have preferred to stay away from, something which would be true particularly in instances where commands in the previous verses are not being followed (something, in reality, occurring throughout Christendom today, in fundamental and liberal circles alike).

So, with that in mind, let’s begin with the commands in verses eight through ten, which, in turn, to properly understand, will necessitate going back to the beginning of the chapter.

But this will also necessitate first looking at the Greek words for both “man” and “woman.” Two different words are used for “man,” and one for “woman.”

**Anthropos, Aner, Gune**

*Anthropos* and *aner* are the two main words used for “man” in the Greek New Testament, and both are used hundreds of times. And any difference between the two is minute, except *in one realm*.

*Anthropos* is normally used when the text or context necessitates *a generic sense — i.e., mankind, referring to both men and women*. And *aner* is used when *a distinction is being shown between men and women.*

Both words are used in these respects in [I Timothy 2:1](https://www.blueletterbible.org/search/preSearch.cfm?Criteria=I+Timothy+2.1&t=NKJV), [4-5](https://www.blueletterbible.org/search/preSearch.cfm?Criteria=I+Timothy+2.4-5&t=NKJV), [8](https://www.blueletterbible.org/search/preSearch.cfm?Criteria=I+Timothy+2.8&t=NKJV), [12](https://www.blueletterbible.org/search/preSearch.cfm?Criteria=I+Timothy+2.12&t=NKJV) which, as will become evident, helps to clarify certain things in this chapter.

Then, *gune* is the word used for “woman” throughout not only this chapter ([I Timothy 2:9-12](https://www.blueletterbible.org/search/preSearch.cfm?Criteria=I+Timothy+2.9-12&t=NKJV), [14](https://www.blueletterbible.org/search/preSearch.cfm?Criteria=I+Timothy+2.14&t=NKJV)) but the New Testament as a whole. And, though the word is often translated “wife” in the New Testament (*e.g.*, [I Timothy 3:2](https://www.blueletterbible.org/search/preSearch.cfm?Criteria=I+Timothy+3.2&t=NKJV), [12](https://www.blueletterbible.org/search/preSearch.cfm?Criteria=I+Timothy+3.12&t=NKJV)), that’s done from a textual or contextual standpoint, not from the meaning of the word.

*Gune* itself simply means “woman,” used over two hundred times in the New Testament, for both married and unmarried women. The marriage relationship does not enter into and has nothing to do with the meaning of the word.

And, aside from a different word used five times (*thelus*, translated both “woman” and “female” [[Matthew 19:4](https://www.blueletterbible.org/search/preSearch.cfm?Criteria=Matthew+19.4&t=NKJV); [Mark 10:6](https://www.blueletterbible.org/search/preSearch.cfm?Criteria=Mark+10.6&t=NKJV); [Romans 1:26-27](https://www.blueletterbible.org/search/preSearch.cfm?Criteria=Romans+1.26-27&t=NKJV); [Galatians 3:28](https://www.blueletterbible.org/search/preSearch.cfm?Criteria=Galatians+3.28&t=NKJV)]) and two derivatives from *gune*, used one time each, (*gunaikarion* [[II Timothy 3:6](https://www.blueletterbible.org/search/preSearch.cfm?Criteria=II+Timothy+3.6&t=NKJV)] and *gunaikeios* [[I Peter 3:7](https://www.blueletterbible.org/search/preSearch.cfm?Criteria=I+Peter+3.7&t=NKJV)]), *gune* is the only word used in the New Testament for “woman.”

**The Commands in Verses Eight through Ten**

To properly understand the commands in these three verses, remaining with the separation between male and female that is seen, one needs to begin back in verse one and note the use of “man” three times in the opening five verses ([I Timothy 2:1](https://www.blueletterbible.org/search/preSearch.cfm?Criteria=I+Timothy+2.1&t=NKJV), [4-5](https://www.blueletterbible.org/search/preSearch.cfm?Criteria=I+Timothy+2.4-5&t=NKJV)). The word used in the Greek text in these verses is *anthropos*, and it is used in a generic respect (something evident from both the text and context), with the word including both men and women.

But, in verses eight and twelve, the Greek word translated “men” in English versions is *aner*, not *anthropos* as used in the previous verses. And this of course is because a distinction between men and women is now in view (something which can be seen in the context as well).

And it is evident from the continuing verses, leading into and including chapter three, that commands governing Christians in an assembly (both men and women together) are being given. These commands cover material in verses eight through twelve, with the reason for the commands given in verses thirteen and fourteen. Then, the section closes in verse fifteen with the thought of a woman being saved through childbearing, with an “if” involved.

In verses eight through ten, two things are brought to light. Men are the ones to pray in the assembly ([I Timothy 2:8](https://www.blueletterbible.org/search/preSearch.cfm?Criteria=I+Timothy+2.8&t=NKJV)), with the women exercising care in the way that they dress and present themselves ([I Timothy 2:9-10](https://www.blueletterbible.org/search/preSearch.cfm?Criteria=I+Timothy+2.9-10&t=NKJV)). And the reason for men being singled out as the ones to pray in the assembly is given later in this section.

**The Commands in Verses Eleven and Twelve**

In verses eleven and twelve, women are prohibited from teaching in the assembly (see next paragraph), with the reason about to be given in verses thirteen and fourteen (which is the same reason that it’s the men, not the women, who are to pray in the assembly back in v. 8).

The woman, relative to teaching in an assembly where both men and women are present, is to be *silent* ([I Timothy 2:12](https://www.blueletterbible.org/search/preSearch.cfm?Criteria=I+Timothy+2.12&t=NKJV)). If only women are present — that is, a woman teaching women, apart from a man being present — the problem wouldn’t present itself. But where both men and women are present, with a woman teaching, *a major problem arises*; and, according to [I Corinthians 14:34-35](https://www.blueletterbible.org/search/preSearch.cfm?Criteria=I+Corinthians+14.34-35&t=NKJV), it would be a “shame” for a woman to speak in such an instance, which, comparing passages, would be with both men and women present.

And this is because of that which would be involved in her doing so (*cf*. [I Corinthians 11:5](https://www.blueletterbible.org/search/preSearch.cfm?Criteria=I+Corinthians+11.5&t=NKJV); [14:34-35](https://www.blueletterbible.org/search/preSearch.cfm?Criteria=I+Corinthians+14.34-35&t=NKJV), showing a distinction between within and without the assembly).

With that in mind, note the reason which Scripture provides for the preceding, with Scripture then elevating the woman to *a place and position far above the one which she would occupy by stepping down from that position into the pulpit.*

**The Reason in Verses Thirteen and Fourteen**

The reason for the God-ordained distinction between the roles men and women are to occupy in the assembly *when spiritual matters are involved — praying, handling the Word* — is clearly outlined in verses thirteen and fourteen. It has to do with *one thing, with headship.*

*Headship* belongs to “the man.” That is seen in the order of creation (Adam first formed, then Eve [v. 13]), and headship is seen being both *violated by Eve* and *exercised by Adam* in the fall (through Eve’s act, then through Adam’s subsequent act [[I Timothy 2:14](https://www.blueletterbible.org/search/preSearch.cfm?Criteria=I+Timothy+2.14&t=NKJV)]).

Little to no comment would be necessary on the first part of the preceding ([I Timothy 2:13](https://www.blueletterbible.org/search/preSearch.cfm?Criteria=I+Timothy+2.13&t=NKJV), man formed first), for that is simply how the record reads in the opening two chapters of Genesis.

But the fall in chapter three, where redemption as well is dealt with, is a little bit different.

Eve, through her actions (*acting on her own in relation to the Word, apart from Adam*, following Satan’s deception wrought through the serpent [Gk., she was “utterly deceived”]) *violated the role of headship held by Adam*. And this, of course, brought about the fall.

Adam, not deceived, had no choice but to also partake of the fruit, acting in a redemptive capacity, evidently knowing full-well the ramifications of his either acting or not acting in this manner.

(The whole of the matter can be clearly seen and understood by viewing the type and antitype together.

The first man, the first Adam, found his bride [a part of his very being] in a fallen state, no longer in a position to eat of the tree of life and ascend the throne with him. Thus, he did the only thing possible if man was to ever realize the reason for his creation. Adam partook of sin, with a view to redemption at a future time, allowing him to one day ascend the throne as a complete being [which would necessitate Eve occupying the throne with him].

Drawing from the type, note the antitype: Christ, the second Man, the last Adam, found His bride in a fallen state and became sin to effect her redemption [[II Corinthians 5:21](https://www.blueletterbible.org/search/preSearch.cfm?Criteria=II+Corinthians+5.21&t=NKJV)]; and this, of course, was with a view to God’s Son one day ascending the throne as a complete being [which will necessitate His redeemed bride, a part of His very being, occupying the throne with Him].

For additional information on the preceding, refer to Ch. I, “Adam and Eve,” in the author’s book, [Bible One - The Bride in Genesis, Adam and Eve, by Arlen Chitwood](http://bibleone.net/BiG_01.htm).)

Thus, for reasons given in verses thirteen and fourteen, *women are forbidden to speak in an assembly, handling the Word, where men are present.*

*And, solely from a Scriptural standpoint, there are no circumstances which would allow her to speak in this manner.*

To do so, a woman could only be taking it upon herself, as Eve in the fall, *to exercise headship. And doing such is clearly forbidden in no uncertain terms in the Word.*

**Notwithstanding…**

But, does the prohibition placed on the woman in the previous manner leave her in some type inferior position?

Hardly! The man occupies his unique place and the woman her unique place. And one cannot, under any circumstances, take the place of the other, though many have tried.

The place of the man, as seen, has to do with *headship*. But note the place which the woman occupies under the man’s headship, *which, in reality, for her, is equally as high as the position which he occupies.*

“Childbearing [articular in the Gk. text, providing *definiteness, emphasis*],” a bearer of children, is used to single out *the central place which the woman is to occupy*, though it could not be childbearing *per se*, for that would be out of line with the overall subject (also note that numerous women cannot bear children, do not marry, etc.).

Rather, *childbearing in the text can only be used to take matters to the heart of a woman’s calling* (*cf*. [Genesis 3:16](https://www.blueletterbible.org/search/preSearch.cfm?Criteria=Genesis+3.16&t=NKJV); [I Timothy 5:14-15](https://www.blueletterbible.org/search/preSearch.cfm?Criteria=I+Timothy+5.14-15&t=NKJV)), *allowing the salvation in view to include all women — whether actually bearing or not bearing children.*

And the salvation, of course, could not be salvation by grace, presently possessed by Christians (which would evidently include the women in view in the text). Rather, this salvation, a future salvation, the salvation of one’s soul, has to do with *remaining within the framework of a woman’s calling* (v. 15a), *while exhibiting “faith and charity* [‘*love*’] *and holiness with sobriety* [‘*good sense,’ ‘self-control*’]” (v. 15b).

*Thus, note that women are to realize this future salvation, the salvation of their souls, through remaining within their God-given roles, seen in the first part of the verse, and exhibiting the qualities seen in the latter part of the verse.*

*And men would realize this same salvation in like manner — remaining in their respective roles and exhibiting the same qualities seen in the latter part of this verse as well.*

For either the man or the woman to leave their God-given role and attempt to function in the role occupied by the other would not only result in *disobedience to the clear commands of the Word but this would be a move outside the realm in which their salvation is to be effected.*

For the man, it would be *a step down and away from his high calling* and the headship which he is to occupy; for the woman, it would be *a step down and away from her high calling as well*, into some semblance of headship which she has not been called to occupy.

Again, note how the text reads:

*“Notwithstanding she shall be saved in childbearing, if…”*